LETTERS TO THE EDITORS

COMMENT ON TRANSPORT PHENOMENA IN FREE TURBULENT FLOWS

IN THE calculation of the ratio of distortion coefficients to account for the anisotropy of large scale motion in wake flow, the above paper relies entirely upon the analogy between the drag on a solid spheroid and that on a fluid entity. This degree of sophistication surely demands a much fuller description of the assumed flow conditions around the entity than has yet been given in either the paper presenting the basic analysis [1], the authors' rejoinder [3] to my earlier comments [2], or the above work [4].

In [3], it is explained that the effect of small entity migration is to increase the effective viscosity acting on the "energy containing entity" (without apparently forming a turbulent boundary layer) and that this provides a description of the process of "inertial interaction". Thus it seems that the motion of the large entities should be analogous to that of a solid sphere in the range of Reynolds number N_R^+ , based on molecular viscosity, between 1 and 10⁵. This is compatible with the estimate of $N_R^+ \sim 400$ in [2], and is entirely reasonable.

However Tyldesley and Silver's description of this motion [1] involves using Stokes' equation for the drag force with the substitution of an effective viscosity, due to small entity migration, for the molecular viscosity. Their justification [3] for this is that "the appropriate Reynolds number of the large entities" is much smaller than my estimate, implying that the pattern of mean-flow streamlines about the fluid entity is the symmetrical one for which Stokes' equation applies, because of (and, it may be remarked, in spite of) the small entity migration. This argument is dubious because it neglects the modification to the streamline pattern which is a consequence of inertial interaction, it neglects the presence of a boundary layer dominated by molecular viscosity, and it ignores the possibility of separation. It is these very effects which prevent the analogy between heat and momentum transfer from spheres, shown in [2], from persisting for Reynolds numbers greater than unity.

It may well be objected that the above interpretation of the fluid entity model is not "fluid" enough—that knowledge of the precise nature of an entity's progress through the surrounding medium is not necessary—but such considerations must be discussed if the model is to be used to predict the variation of turbulent Prandtl number with Reynolds number, as in [1], or the effects of anisotropy as in [4]. In view of the interesting results already published [1, 4] and the good agreement with wave-number analyses which has apparently been found [3], it is to be hoped that the objections to the model cited in this letter may eventually be overcome by some modification.

REFERENCES

- 1. J. R. TYLDESLEY and R. S. SILVER, The prediction of the transport properties of a turbulent fluid, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer 11, 1325–1340 (1968).
- 2. C. J. LAWN, Letter to the Editors, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer 12, 1209-1211 (1969).
- 3. J. R. TYLDESLEY and R. S. SILVER, Rejoinder by the Authors to [2] above, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer 12, 1211-1213 (1969).
- 4. J. R. TYLDESLEY, Transport phenomena in free turbulent flows, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer 12, 489-496 (1969).

C. J. LAWN

Heat Transfer Section Central Electricity Generating Board Berkeley Nuclear Laboratories Gloucestershire

Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer. Vol. 12, pp. 1723-1724. Pergamon Press 1969. Printed in Great Britain

REJOINDER TO TRANSPORT PHENOMENA IN FREE TURBULENT FLOWS

LAWN is not entirely correct in his assertion that the above paper relies "entirely upon the analogy between the drag on a solid spheroid and that on a fluid entity". The results for solid spheroids have been used in the analysis only because the comparisons between scalar and vector diffusion for such bodies are likely to be representative of the corresponding comparisons for fluid entities and more particularly the effect of aspect ratio is likely to be similar for more general shapes. The use of spheroids is not in any way indicative of misplaced sophistication.

At the other extreme the use of the simple relationships for vector and scalar transport to the entity is not a gross simplification. Stokes' equation for momentum transport can be used since the situation being considered initially is low Reynolds number flows where the significant contribution to the transport processes is from the small scale